

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM96, MM97 and MM98

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

object

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

n/a

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

unsound

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Not positively prepared

Justified

Not justified

Effective

Not effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

1. The removal of the words "*a minimum*" in MM 96 and the consequential amendments in MM97 and MM98 is defeatist and implies that the Council is not going to try to achieve better than target. This is unacceptable.
2. To say that the use of "*minimum*" implies a "*likelihood of achieving above the levels specified which might not prove possible*" is wholly spurious. If the Council thinks that it may not prove possible to achieve above the levels specified, how can it say that it will deliver at the levels specified? This amendment smacks of excessive caution and does not give confidence that the Council is going to make real efforts to save its Green Belt by utilising to the full previously developed land.

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Stet the original text in the Core Strategy Plan and withdraw the Main Modification.

11. Signature:

Finnigan
For and on behalf of
the Tong and Fulneck
Valley Association

Date: 17 January 2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.